

## inited state department of commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/856,376 05/14/97 CHEE М 16528X-02501 **EXAMINER** 020350 HM22/0911 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834 1631 DATE MAILED: 09/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

e,

Application No. 08/856,376

Applicant(s)

Chee et al.

Examiner

Ardin Marsch I

Art Unit 1631



- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FRO	DM
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replacement be considered timely.</li> </ul>	•	ed
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35	J.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 22, 2</u>	2001	
2a) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Expe	·	e merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1, 3-10, and 15-33</u>	is/are	pending in the applica
4a) (Carrier above, claim(s) 2 and 11-14 have been ca	anceled.	illidiawin from considera
5)		is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) <u>1, 3-10, and 15-33</u>		is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction	and/or election requirem
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)⊡disap	proved.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) All b) Some* c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No.	·
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Stage
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	·	
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) X Other: Attachment to PTO-948	

00/0

Applicants' arguments, filed 6/22/01, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Applicant is hereby notified that the required timing for the correction of drawings has changed. See the last 6 lines on the sheet which is attached entitled "Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01 or earlier)". It is noted that a PTO Form 948 was mailed with Paper No. 5 on 10/12/99. Due to the above notification Applicant is required to submit drawing corrections within the time period set for responding to this Office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR § 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). See, for example, sequence TGAACTGTATCCGACAT in Figure 1 which have not been found in the sequence listing. However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.821 through 1.825 because it lacks any submission of this sequence on a computer readable form sequence listing etc. In response to this

requirement applicants must submit a new computer readable form sequence listing (review of the entirety of the instant application for additional missed sequences is requested), a paper copy for the specification, and a statement under 37 CFR § 1.821(f). Applicants are also reminded that SEQ ID Nos are not required in Figures per se, however, the corresponding SEQ ID Nos then are required in the Brief Description of the Drawings section in the specification. Applicant(s) are given the same response time regarding this failure to comply as that set forth to respond to this office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

Claims 1, 3-9, and 15-33 are rejected, as discussed below, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the instant claims, such as claim 1, the phrase "the perfect complement of the segment" is present. It is well known that complements may not necessarily be 100% and of the same length. A perfect complement phraseology lacks any length limitation since any segment which is 100% complementary to a subsegment of a longer nucleic acid may be reasonably interpreted as being perfectly complementary but as noted is still only perfectly complementary to a subsegment. Applicants indicated

that the Examiner suggested the "perfect complement" wording. This is not seen in the record since the suggested wording is different. It was suggested that if applicants wish to claim only complements that are fully complementary and of the same length then this wording should be amended into the claims to clarify what complementarity practice is meant. This unclarity is present in all of the pending claims except claim 10 which does not recite the complement wording. This rejection is reiterated from the previous office action and as necessitated by amendment regarding the "perfect" wording.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Anderson et al.(1981).

It is noted that Anderson et al. on page 462 at Table 2 and elsewhere in associated discussion compares the human mitochondrial sequence of several genes as given in Table 1 of the instant application with the sequences of bovine mitochondrial nucleic acid which therefore anticipates instant claim 10. This rejection is reiterated and maintained as it was

Art Unit: 1631

not argued in the REMARKS, filed 6/22/01.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Serial No. 08/856,376 - 6 - Art Unit: 1631 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. September 7, 2001 PRIMARY EXAMINER